

**ENGINEERING EVALUATION/COST ANALYSIS
323-ACRE WOODED SITE
JEFFERSON PROVING GROUND
MADISON, INDIANA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	v

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Base Realignment and Closure Program.....	1-3
1.3 State and Local Regulations.....	1-3
1.4 Overview of the Removal Action Process	1-4
1.5 EE/CA Purpose	1-5
1.6 Project Organization.....	1-5
1.7 Public Outreach	1-7
1.8 Report Organization.....	1-8

SECTION 2 - SITE CHARACTERIZATION

2.1 Site Description and Background.....	2-1
2.2 Land Use.....	2-13
2.3 Assessment of Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.....	2-14

SECTION 3 - FIELD INVESTIGATION

3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Geophysical Survey.....	3-1
3.3 Intrusive Investigation.....	3-7
3.4 Nature and Extent of OE Contamination.....	3-11
3.5 Potentially Hazardous OE Item Demolition and Recovered Material Disposal.....	3-18

3.6 Potentially Hazardous OE Item Description.....	3-19
3.7 Potentially Hazardous OE Item Spatial Analysis	3-20

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>PAGE</u>
SECTION 4 - IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONSE ACTION GOAL AND OBJECTIVES	
4.1 Response Action Goal.....	4-1
4.2 Response Action Objectives.....	4-1
4.3 Statutory Limits.....	4-2
4.4 Schedule	4-2
SECTION 5 - IDENTIFICATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF RESPONSE ACTION ALTERNATIVES	
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Description of OE Clearance Technologies	5-1
5.3 Description of Response Action Alternatives.....	5-5
SECTION 6 – IMPACT ANALYSIS	
6.1 Introduction	6-1
6.2 Definition of Impact Analysis Factors.....	6-1
6.3 Impact Analysis of the 312-Acre Area.....	6-5
6.4 Conclusions	6-7
SECTION 7 - EVALUATION OF RESPONSE ACTION ALTERNATIVES	
7.1 Introduction	7-1
7.2 Effectiveness.....	7-2
7.3 Implementability.....	7-2
7.4 Cost	7-4
7.5 Application of Evaluation Criteria By Alternative	7-4
7.6 Summary of Remaining Alternatives	7-8
SECTION 8 - COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF RESPONSE ACTION ALTERNATIVES	
8.1 Introduction	8-1
8.2 Effectiveness.....	8-2
8.3 Implementability.....	8-4
8.4 Cost	8-7
8.5 Overall Ranking for the 312-Acre Parcel.....	8-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>PAGE</u>
SECTION 9 – RECOMMENDED RESPONSE ACTION	
9.1 Introduction	9-1
9.2 Recommended Response Action.....	9-1
9.3 Limitations of This Report.....	9-4
9.4 Army Assurances.....	9-4

SECTION 10 - REFERENCES**APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A	Statement of Work
APPENDIX B	GEO-CENTERS Geophysical Survey Report
APPENDIX C	Results of Intrusive Investigation
APPENDIX D	UXB Report of Disposal
APPENDIX E	Institutional Analysis Report
APPENDIX F	Detailed Cost Estimates and Assumptions
APPENDIX G	US Fish and Wildlife Service Letter
APPENDIX H	Glossary

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	General Geologic Stratigraphy	2-4
Table 2.2	Climatological Data.....	2-7
Table 2.3	Previous HTW Investigations.....	2-12
Table 2.4	Potential ARARs for Response Action.....	2-16
Table 3.1	Geophysical Survey Summary, 312-Acre Parcel.....	3-6
Table 3.2	Geophysical Survey Summary, 11-Acre Parcel.....	3-6
Table 3.3	Summary of Intrusive Investigation Findings, 312-Acre Parcel.....	3-12
Table 3.4	Summary of Intrusive Investigation Findings, 11-Acre Parcel.....	3-15
Table 3.5	UXO Calculator Application Results, 312-Acre Parcel.....	3-17
Table 3.6	UXO Calculator Application Results, 11-Acre Parcel.....	3-17
Table 6.1	OE Type Risk Factor Definition.....	6-2
Table 6.2	UXO Density Risk Factor Definition.....	6-3

Table 6.3 Number of People Using Site Risk Factor Definition 6-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**LIST OF TABLES (Continued)**

	PAGE
Table 6.4	Activities at Site Risk Factor Definition.....
Table 6.5	Accessibility of Site Risk Factor Definition.....
Table 6.6	Summary of Risk Factor Evaluation.....
Table 7.1	Cost Summary for Remaining Response Alternatives.....
Table 8.1	Effectiveness Criteria Application.....
Table 8.2	Implementability Criteria Application.....
Table 8.3	Selection Criteria Application.....

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Site Location.....	1-2
Figure 1-2	Project Team Organization Chart.....	1-6
Figure 2-1	Two Parcels within the 323-acre Wooded Site	2-3
Figure 2-2	UXO Status of Property within the Cantonment Area.....	2-10
Figure 3-1	Pseudo-Random Walk Geophysical Survey.....	3-5
Figure 3-2	Anomalies Location Map	3-8
Figure 3-3	Location of OE Item and OE-related Scrap Item.....	3-13
Figure 3-4	Locations of Non-verifiable Anomalies	3-14
Figure 3-5	60mm Mortar Round	3-21
Figure 3-6	Locations of Recovered Potentially Hazardous OE Items at the 312-Acre Parcel and Airfield Site.....	3-22
Figure 9-1	Proposed Approach for OE Response Clearance	9-2